

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

C465

**QUESTION WITHOUT NOTICE
(Of which some notice has been given)**

Tuesday, 5 May 2015

Hon Robin Chapple to the Minister for Aboriginal Affairs.

I refer to Other Heritage Place ID 23323 which currently states "Cannot show a map of the site because the boundary is yet to be captured." and answer to (n) Question On Notice No. 187 of 6 August 2013 in which it was stated that the department had concluded its work with cultural groups in refining the values and boundaries of site DIA 23323 and answer to Question Without Notice No. 396 asked in the Legislative Council on 22 April 2015.

1. Has the boundary site 23323 now been captured?
2. If yes to 1.) when will that be reflected on the Other Heritage Place ID 23323 register
3. If yes to 1.) will site 23323 now appear on the register?
4. If no to 3.) what other impediments are in the way of site 23323 appearing on the register as a registered site?

I thank the Hon. Member for some notice of this question.

1. No. The boundary of the site requires reconsideration in light of the recent Supreme Court decision by Justice Chaney.
2. Not applicable.
3. Not applicable.
4. Not applicable.



Minister for Aboriginal Affairs

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

C465

QUESTION WITHOUT NOTICE
(Of which some notice has been given)

Tuesday, 5 May 2015

Hon Robin Chapple to the Minister for Aboriginal Affairs.

I refer to Other Heritage Place ID 23323 which currently states "Cannot show a map of the site because the boundary is yet to be captured." and answer to (n) Question On Notice No. 187 of 6 August 2013 in which it was stated that the department had concluded its work with cultural groups in refining the values and boundaries of site DIA 23323 and answer to Question Without Notice No. 396 asked in the Legislative Council on 22 April 2015.

1. Has the boundary site 23323 now been captured?
2. If yes to 1.) when will that be reflected on the Other Heritage Place ID 23323 register
3. If yes to 1.) will site 23323 now appear on the register?
4. If no to 3.) what other impediments are in the way of site 23323 appearing on the register as a registered site?

I thank the Hon. Member for some notice of this question.

1. No. The boundary of the site requires reconsideration in light of the recent Supreme Court decision by Justice Chaney.
2. Not applicable.
3. Not applicable.
4. Not applicable.



Minister for Aboriginal Affairs